UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/662,345	09/16/2003	Levon Arakelyan	Q71975	2068	
	23373 7590 12/31/2008 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			EXAMINER	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			CLOW, LORI A		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1631		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/31/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/662,345	ARAKELYAN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Lori A. Clow	1631
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron ute, cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ The substitution of	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10 and 12 is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9, 11, and 13-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	ithdrawn from consideration. l/or election requirement.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a specificant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the specific to by the specific to be specification.	ccepted or b) objected to by the ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limited of the certified copies 	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicat riority documents have been receive eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal D 6) Other:	oate

DETAILED ACTION

Applicants' response, filed 24 October 2008, has been fully considered. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections and/or objections are either reiterated or newly applied. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

Claims 1-21 are currently pending. Claim 21 is newly added.

Claims 10 and 12 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 20 April 2006.

Claims 1-9, 11, and 13-20 are examined herein.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101-Non-statutory Subject Matter

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-9, 11, and 13-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. This is a new grounds of rejection as necessitated by the recent decision in *In re Bilski*.

Claims 1-9, 11, and 13-21 are drawn to a method of performing interactive clinical trials for testing a new drug for cancer related studies comprising steps of performing a pre-clinical phase in which a computer model is determined, performing a clinical trial by performing

Art Unit: 1631

computer simulations using a computer model, adjusting a computer model based on results of the clinical trial, calculating a dose escalation step by the computer simulations, performing multiple simulations and determining results.

As stated in MPEP 2106, section IV, the claims will be evaluated for providing a practical application, if the claims are found to cover a judicial exception (*i.e.*, Law of Nature, Natural Phenomenon, or an Abstract Idea). In the instant case, the claims are drawn to an abstract idea and therefore must be evaluated further for providing a practical application of the judicial exception. A practical application may be claimed if the claimed invention physically transforms an article or physical object to a different state or thing or if the claimed invention otherwise produces a concrete, tangible, and useful result. In the instant case, a physical transformation of matter is not provided, as the instant claims merely provide steps of *in silico* information manipulation. Therefore, none of said steps result in a physical transformation of matter such that the whole of the claim is statutory.

As such, the claims must be further evaluated for providing a practical application that may be achieved by producing a concrete, tangible and useful result. The focus is not on the steps taken to achieve a particular result, but rather the final result achieved by the claimed invention. A claim may be statutory where it recites a result that is concrete (i.e. reproducible), tangible (i.e. communicated to a user), and useful (i.e. a specific and substantial). In the instant case the steps of "performing clinical trials" do not provide a tangible result that is useful to one skilled in the art. Rather, those claim embodiments merely encompass *in silico* results with no specific output. The tangible requirement does require that the claim must recite more that a 101 judicial exception, in that the process claim must set forth a practical application of that 101

Art Unit: 1631

judicial exception to produce a real-world result. *Benson*, 409 U.S. at 71-72, 175 USPQ at 676-77 (invention ineligible because no "substantial practical application."). In the instant case, no real-world result is set forth, as the results could merely reside *in silico*, as the method steps are performed on a computer model.

In addition to the analysis above for providing a practical application, the claims must also meet the machine or transformation test as set forth in *In re Bilski* (Federal Circuit, 2008). Bilski reaffirms the idea that the prohibition on patenting abstract ideas has two distinct aspects: (1) when an abstract concept has no claimed practical application, it is not patentable; (2) while an abstract concept may have a practical application, a claim reciting an algorithm or abstract idea can state statutory subject matter only if it is embodied in, operates on, transforms, or otherwise is tied to another class of statutory subject matter under 35 U.S.C. §101 (i.e. a machine, manufacture, or composition of matter). (*Gottschalk v. Benson*, 409 U.S. 63, 175 USPO 673, 1972) (*In re Bilski*, Fed. Cir. 2008).

Claims 1-9, 11, and 13-21 in the instant case are not so tied to another statutory class of invention because the method steps that are critical to the invention are "not limited to any particular apparatus or machinery" and thus do not satisfy the machine or transformation test. Applicant is reminded that as per Bilski, claim limitations that are directed to obtaining or outputting data using a generic apparatus or machine are considered insignificant pre-solution and post-solution activity and would not meet the machine or transformation test.

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Art Unit: 1631

The outstanding claim objections have been withdrawn in view of the claim amendments.

The outstanding rejections under 35 USC 112,2nd paragraph have been withdrawn in view of Applicant's arguments and amendments to the claims.

The newly applied rejection under 35 USC 101 is necessitated by the recent decision in *In re Bilski*.

Inquiries

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technical Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technical Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (See 37 CFR § 1.6(d)). The Central Fax Center Number is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lori A. Clow, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571) 272-0715. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10 am to 6:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marjorie Moran can be reached on (571) 272-0720.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to (571) 272-0547.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the

Application/Control Number: 10/662,345 Page 6

Art Unit: 1631

problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.

January 2, 2009 /Lori A. Clow/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1631